



Global Assessment of Precipitation of Radiation Belt Electrons by Electromagnetic Waves from Lightning

Erin Gemelos

VLF Group Stanford University

Dec. 12, 2010



Outline



- Observations
 - DEMETER satellite
 - National Lightning Detection Network
 - Location for observations
 - Seasonal distribution
- Theoretical flux calculations
- Conclusions



IDP on DEMETER



- 670 km sun-synchronous orbit
 - 10:30 or 22:30 local time



- Instrument for Particle Detection (IDP)
 - Detects particles with local pitch angles near 90° and has ~30° detector width
 - Has a large geometric factor of 1 cm²str
 - Has 4 second time resolution
 - Measures flux of electrons with energies from 72 keV – 2.35 MeV, with ~20 keV spectral resolution



Lightning data



- US National Lightning Detection Network
 - >100 ground-based
 lightning sensors
 - Millisecond accuracy for cloud-to-ground flashes
 - Records location, peak current of lightning stroke



Source: [Cummins, 1998]

- Instrument Champ Electrique (ICE) on DEMETER
 - Electric field power spectrum measurements
 - 15 Hz 20 kHz frequency range



Seasonal Distribution of Lightning





Source: [Christian et al, 2003]



2006-7 Seasonal Wave Power STANFORD



5-10 kHz, Nighttime







Continental United States is an ideal location

- Predominance of VLF wave activity seen on DEMETER
- Geomagnetic conjugate region in the ocean
- Just inside the edge of the drift loss cone





Seasonal Variation







Resonant Energy



8

$$\omega_{H} = \omega + k_{z} v_{z}$$



L



Day/Night Variations



Nighttime

Daytime





Fluxes and Lightning







Outline



Observations

- Theoretical flux calculations
 - Electron precipitation model
 - Expected precipitation at satellite location
 - Correlation between expected and actual fluxes
- Conclusions





- Compare DEMETER precipitation data over the United States with precipitation expected from lightning recorded by NLDN
- Assume lightning creates a gaussian pattern of electron precipitation as quantified by *Lauben et al.*, [2001]
- Determine relative amount of flux expected to be deposited at satellite location during each 4 second interval of DEMETER pass



Gaussian Precipitation Model

(from Plate 12 of Lauben et al., [2001])



550 kJ, E>100k 1179 kJ, E>100k 1120 kJ, E>100k 50 50 Lat (deg) 40 30 30 20 20 20 10 10 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -60 -100 -90 -80 -70 Lon (deg) Lon (deg) Lon (deg)

- Precipitation occurs in broad region poleward of lightning source
- Model returns two-dimensional function of precipitation region



Gaussian Precipitation Model

(compared to Plate 12 of Lauben 2001)



1179 kJ, E>100k 1120 kJ, E>100k 550 kJ, E>100k 50 50 h 50 Lat (deg) 60 05 40 40 30 30 20 20 20 -5.0 10 10 10 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 Lon (deg) Lon (deg) Lon (deg) Precipitation 50 50 50 1.0 0.8 40 40 40 Lat (deg) 0.6 30 30 30 0.4 9.0 0.2 0.0 Normalized I 20 20 20 10 10 10 -100 -90 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -80 -70 -60 -100 -90 -80 -60 -70 Lon (deg) Lon (deg) Lon (deg)



Example Gaussian Model







Methodology







Proof of concept: example











 Expected fluxes agree well with IDP data up to ~300 keV over sample orbit shown on previous slide



Dependence on *L*





Non-linear relationship with L means we need to do a direct estimate of the conditional correlation



2007 Correlations



2007 Correlation of log(IDP flux) and log(relative flux expected from lightning) 0.5 4.5 0.4 4 -0.3 Correlation coefficient 3.5 -0.2 -4 3 0.1 0 2.5 -0.1 2 -0.2 90 108 126 144 161 179 197 215 233 250 268 286 304 322 339 357 375 393 411 428 446 464 482 500 Energy (keV)







2006-8 Spring (MAM) Correlation of log(IDP nighttime flux) and log(relative flux expected from lightning)





















Outline



Observations

- Continental US ideal location
- Seasonal variation of fluxes and lightning
- Theoretical flux calculations
 - Electron scattering model
 - Energy and L-dependencies
- Conclusions





- Discovered a seasonal variation in electron precipitation at mid-latitudes consistent with lightning as a major loss driver for electrons with energies of a few hundred keV
- Identified the continental United States as the best geographic region for measurements of lightninginduced electron precipitation (LEP) at low Earth orbit
- Quantified the relationship between electron precipitation and lightning activity, including dependence on energy and *L*-shell.