

Integrity **★** Service **★** Excellence

#### Physics of the Geospace Response to Powerful HF Radio Waves

HAARP-Resonance Workshop, 8-9 November 2011

#### **Evgeny Mishin**

**Space Vehicles Directorate** 

Air Force Research Laboratory

Acknowledgments: Chin Lin, Todd Pedersen of AFRL and Gennady Milikh of UMD



## OUTLINE



#### Introduction

- HF-driven plasma instabilities
- Descending artificial plasma layers: Electron acceleration
- Ionizing wave
- Density ducts and ion outflows: Deficiency of heating











## **HF** Heating





Distribution A: Cleared for Public Release





>4th row: Average intensities at 427.8 nm for the images' center

cross-section of the 557.7nm volume emission rate for 210 s

**Distribution A: Cleared for Public Release** 

5

(right) True height profiles.

Pedersen et al., GRL 2010

## **Optical Ring**





Figure 1. Optical images from the HAARP site looking up (top) and from Delta Junction 160 km N of HAARP looking obliquely S at about 45° elevation (bottom). Contours of the vertical HAARP transmitter beam at 10, 50, and 90% full ERP are superimposed on the data from the HAARP site, along with a scale showing the angular extent of the features.



Figure 4. Ray tracing at 2.85 MHz through the background ionosphere perturbed by a localized layer of additional ionization from Figure 2. The concentration of transmitter power to either side of the center would produce a ring when azimuthal symmetry is considered.



Pedersen et al., GRL 2010

# Descending Ionizing Wavefront





Time-vs-altitude plot of **557.7** nm optical emissions along *B* with contours showing the altitudes where fp = 2.85 MHz (blue), UHR= 2.85 MHz (violet), and  $2f_{ce}$ = 2.85 MHz (dashed white). Horizontal blips are stars.

Ion Acoustic Line backscatter is shown in green. Red dashed lines indicate Ionizing Wavefronts during heater-on periods (*NB*: Repeatable self-quenching). Three potential mechanisms :

✓ 1) suppression ofrecombination by electrontemperature increases

✓ 2) thermal redistribution of plasma by the electron pressure bulge

✓ 3) ionization by suprathermal electrons.

The observed strong optical emissions at 557.7, 777.4, and 427.8 nm are most consistent with (3)







#### **Accelerated Electrons & Ionization**



•Near 180 km the plasma frequency in the descending layer reaches  $f_0$  or  $n_e = n_c$  blocking propagation of the HF beam above the artificial layer. The artificial plasma is now completely self-sustained and rapidly propagates along the magnetic field downward as the ionizing wave front due to ionization by accelerated electrons.

$$\begin{split} F_a^{\parallel}(\varepsilon_{\parallel}) &\simeq n_a(2p_a-1)/v_{\min} \cdot \left(\varepsilon_{\min}/\varepsilon_{\parallel}\right)^{p_a} \\ \hline \langle \nu_{ion} \rangle &\approx \kappa_{ion}^* \cdot \left([N_2] + \frac{1}{2}[O] + 0.95[O_2]\right) \text{ s}^{-1} \\ \hline \kappa_{ion}^* &= \langle v\sigma_{ion} \rangle / n_a \ \approx &1.8 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \\ \hline \varepsilon(\varepsilon_0,\xi) &\simeq \varepsilon_0 - \int_{h_0}^{h_0+\xi} L(\varepsilon(z))\sqrt{2/\delta_e(\varepsilon(z))} dz \end{split}$$

(a) Altitude profiles  $\epsilon(\epsilon_0,h)$  at  $\epsilon_0 = 10, 15, ...100 \text{ eV}$  and  $h_0 = 150, ...200 \text{ km}$ . (b) Half-widths  $\Delta_g$  (thin lines) and  $\Delta_b$  (thick) of the green- and blue-line excitation layers near  $h_c = 160$  (circles) and 180 (solid lines) km.



## **Ionizing Wave**

Bitorce Research Laborard

At each time step artificial ionization occurs near the altitude  $h_c$ , where  $n_e = n_c$ . The density profile just below  $h_c$  is represented as

$$n_{e}(x, t_{i}) = n_{c} \cdot \Psi(x)$$
  $\Psi(0) \ge 1$  and  $\Psi(x) \ll 1$  at  $x > 1$   
 $x = \xi/L_{\parallel}, \xi = (h_{c} - h) / \cos \alpha_{0}$  Distance along B  
 $L_{\parallel} \simeq \left\langle l_{ion} \sqrt{\delta_{e}/2} \right\rangle$  Average ionization length

Ionization by accelerated (subscript a) electrons increases the plasma density near  $h_c$ 

$$n_e(x_i, t_i + \Delta t) \simeq q_a(\xi_i) \cdot \Delta t \qquad q_a = n_a \cdot \langle \nu_{ion}(\varepsilon) \rangle$$

$$T_{ion}^{-1}\simeq q_a/n_c$$
 lonization time

Speed of descent

$$V_d = |dh_c/dt| \simeq L_{\parallel} T_{ion}^{-1} \simeq \left\langle v \sqrt{\delta_e/2} \right\rangle n_a/n_c$$

$$\left< \delta_e^{1/2} v \right> \simeq 1.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ m/s}$$
  $n_a \simeq 6 \cdot 10^{-4} n_c$ 

In excellent agreement with the optical observations



9



### **Ducts and Ion Outflows**







## Simulations





• 2-min steps.

•Two experimental values on the left are from ionosonde skymaps and that on the right is from DMSP F15.

•Match after 6 min in the strongest heating.



Before heating (t = 0) ions drift downward at < 20 m/s.

During heating (t= 3 min) ions drift upward at altitudes from 300 km to 1000 km and reach 160 m/s at 600 km.

After heating (t = 6 min) ions drift downward below 800 km and upward at higher altitudes

After cooling (t = 9 min) ions move downward





#### Simulation vs. observations









## Summary



- The HF-driven ionization process is initiated near 220 km altitude in the ambient F layer. Once the artificial plasma reaches sufficient density to support interaction with the transmitter beam it rapidly descends as an ionization wave to ~150 km altitude. Ionizing wave model due to HF-accelerated electrons explains the observations.
- 2-3 km/s speeds needed to explain the fast appearance of artificial ducts and ion outflows in the topside ionosphere contradict to the conventional (fluid) models.
- Point to suprathermal populations and/or heat transfer processes.





## **EISCAT UHF radar observations**



Distribution A: Cleared for Public Release