# Fractal Antenna Elements and Arrays

Here is an excellent introduction to a new technique for antennas with both wide bandwidth and reduced size

## X. Yang, J. Chiochetti, D. Papadopoulos and L. Susman APTI, Inc

ith the advance of wireless communication systems and increasing importance of other wireless applications, wideband and low profile antennas are in great demand for both commercial and military applications. Multi-band and wideband antennas are desirable in personal communication systems, small satellite communication terminals. and other wireless applications. Wideband antennas also find applications in



**Figure 1. Two fractal examples: (a) Mandelbrot Set, (b) Plant.** 

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), Counter Camouflage, Concealment and Deception (CC&D), Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR), and Ground Moving Target Indicators (GMTI). Some of these applications also require that an antenna be embedded into the airframe structure

Traditionally, a wideband antenna in the low frequency wireless bands can only be achieved with heavily loaded wire antennas, which usually means different antennas are needed for different frequency bands. Recent progress in the study of fractal antennas suggests some attractive solutions for using a single small antenna operating in several frequency bands.

The purpose of this article is to introduce the concept of the fractal, review the progress in fractal antenna study and implementation, compare different types of fractal antenna elements and arrays and discuss the challenge and future of this new type of antenna.

#### **Fractals**

Benoit B. Mandelbrot [1] investigated the relationship between fractals and nature using the discoveries made by Gaston Julia, Pierre Fatou and Felix Hausdorff. He showed that many fractals existed in nature and that fractals could accurately model certain phenomena. He introduced new types of fractals to model more complex structures, such as trees or mountains. By furthering the idea of a fractional dimension, he coined the term *fractal*. His work inspired interest and has made fractals a very popular field of study.

Mandelbrot defined a fractal as a rough or fragmented geometric shape that can be subdivided in parts, each of which is (at least approxi-



Figure 1(c). Julia Set fractal.

mately) a reduced-size copy of the whole. (A strict mathematical definition is that fractal is an object whose Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension strictly exceeds its topological dimension).

Most fractal objects have self-similar shapes although there some fractal objects exist that are hardly self-similar at all. Most fractals also have infinite complexity and detail, that is, the complexity and detail of the fractals remain no matter how far you "zoom-in," as long as you are zooming in on the right location. Also, most fractals have fractional dimensions.

Fractals can model nature very well. They can be used to generate realistic landscapes or sunsets, wireframes of mountains, rough terrain, ripples on lakes, coastline, seafloor topography, plants, and ionospheric layers. Fractals can be divided into many types. Figures 1 (a, b, c) show some examples. Many theories and innovative applications for fractals are being developed. For instance, fractals have been applied in image compression, in the creation of music from pink noise, and in the analysis of high altitude lightning phenomena [2].

#### Fractal concepts applied to antennas

There are many ongoing efforts to develop low profile and wideband antennas such as frequency independent antennas, as reviewed in [3]. One fundamental property of classical frequency independent antennas is their ability to retain the same shape under certain scaling transformations, which is the self-similar property shared by many fractals. Several frequency-independent antennas can be generalized as fractal antennas [4], although they had nothing to do with fractals when first developed. Figure 2 shows a log-periodic antenna and a spiral antenna, both of which can be categorized as fractal antennas.

The general concepts of fractals can be applied to develop various antenna elements and arrays. Applying fractals to antenna elements allows for smaller, resonant antennas that are multiband/broadband and may be optimized for gain. Applying fractals to antenna arrays develops multiband/broadband arrays. The fact that most fractals have infinite complexity and detail can be used to reduce antenna size and develop low profile antennas. When antenna elements or arrays are designed with the concept of self-similarity for most fractals, they can achieve multiple frequency bands because different parts of the antenna are similar to each other at different scales. Application of the fractional dimension of fractal structure leads to the gain optimization of wire antennas. The combination of the infinite complexity and detail and the self-similarity makes it possible to design antennas with very wideband performance.



Figure 2. Frequency independent antennas as fractal antennas: (a) Log-periodic dipole antenna, (b) Spiral antenna

The first application of fractals to antenna design was thinned fractal linear and planar arrays [5]-[9], i.e., arranging the elements in a fractal pattern to reduce the number of elements in the array and obtain wideband arrays or multiband performance. Another advantage of these fractal arrays is that the self-similarity in their geometric structure may be exploited in order to develop algorithms for rapid computation of their radiation patterns. These algorithms are based on convenient product representations for the array factors and are much quicker



▲ Figure 3. Various fractal antenna elements: (a) Koch dipole, (b) Koch loop, (c) Sierpinski dipole, (d) Cantor slot patch

to calculate than the discrete Fourier transform approach.

Cohen [10] was the first to develop an antenna element using the concept of fractals. He demonstrated that the concept of fractal could be used to significantly reduce the antenna size without degenerating the performance. Puente et al. [11] demonstrated the multiband capability of fractals by studying the behavior of the Sierpinski monopole and dipole. The Sierpinski monopole displayed a similar behavior at several bands for both the input return loss and radiation pattern. Other fractals [12]-[13] have also been explored to obtain multi-band or ultra-wideband antennas.

#### Fractal antenna elements

The fractal concept can be used to reduce antenna size, such as the Koch dipole, Koch monopole, Koch loop, and Minkowski loop. Or, it can be used to achieve multiple bandwidth and increase bandwidth of each single band due to the self-similarity in the geometry, such as the Sierpinski dipole, Cantor slot patch, and fractal tree dipole. In other designs, fractal structures are used to achieve a single very wideband response, e.g., the printed circuit fractal loop antenna. Several fractal antenna elements are presented in Figure 3.

Koch monopole and dipole — The Koch curve has

been used to construct a monopole and a dipole in order to reduce antenna size. One example is shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4(a), the first resonance of a Koch dipole is at 961 MHz while that of a regular dipole with the same length is at 1851 MHz. Therefore, the length of the antenna is reduced by a fact of the 1.9. The current distribution and radiation patterns for both the Koch dipole and the regular dipole at the resonant frequencies are shown in Figure 4(b)-(f). It is worth mentioning that the radiation pattern of a Koch dipole is slightly different from that of a regular dipole because its fractal dimension is greater than 1.

Koch loop and Minkowski loop — The Koch curve can also be used to form a loop of reduced size. Another example is the Minkowski loop formed with a 90-degree bend. Both types of fractal loops can reduce the diameter of the loop and achieve approximately the same performance as a regular single wire loop.

Sierpinski monopole and dipole — The Sierpinski gasket is a self-similar structure. In an ideal Sierpinski gasket, each of its three main parts is exactly a scaled version of the object (scaled by a factor of two). The selfsimilarity properties of the fractal shape are translated into its electromagnetic behavior and results in a multiband antenna. The variation on the antenna's flare angle shifts the operating bands, changes the impedance



Figure 4. Koch dipole and regular dipole: (a) Input impedance of a Koch dipole; (b) Current distribution of the Koch dipole at 961 MHz; (c) Current distribution of the regular dipole at 1.851 GHz; (d) 3-D radiation pattern of the Koch dipole at 961 MHz; (e) 3-D radiation pattern of the regular dipole at 1.851 GHz. (continued on next page)

level, and alters the radiation patterns.

*Cantor slot patch* — The Cantor slot patch is another example of multiband fractal structure. This type of patch has been applied in multiband microstrip antennas and multiband frequency selective surfaces.

*Fractal Tree* — Various fractal tree structures have been explored as antenna elements and has been found that the fractal tree usually can achieve multiple wideband performance and reduce antenna size.

*Printed circuit fractal loops* — The printed circuit fractal loop antenna is designed to achieve ultra wide-

band or multiple wideband performance and significantly reduce the antenna dimensions. The antenna has a constant phase center, can be manufactured using printed circuit techniques, and is readily conformable to an airframe or other structure.

#### Fractal antenna arrays

The concept of the fractal can be applied in design and analysis of arrays by either analyzing the array using fractal theory, or placing elements in fractal arrangement, or both. Fractal arrangement of array ele-



Figure 4 (continued). Koch dipole and regular dipole: (f) Radiation pattern of the Koch dipole at 961 MHz; (g) 3-D radiation pattern of the regular dipole at 1.851 GHz.

ments can produce a thinned array and achieve multiband performance. Examples are the Cantor linear array, Cantor ring array and Sierpinski carpet planar array, as shown in Figure 5.

Cantor linear array — Cantor linear array is based on



Figure 5. Various fractal antenna arrays: (a) Cantor linear array; (b) Cantor ring array; (c) Sierpinski carpet planar array.

a Cantor set with a number of design variables. When thinned, these arrays have a performance that is superior to their periodic counterparts and appear similar to or better than their random counterparts for a moderate number of elements. Figure 6 shows the calculated array

factor of a five level Cantor linear array. The largest distance as shown in Figure 6(a) is  $d_5 = 180$  cm. Figure 6(b)-(g) shows the plot of array factor at different frequency bands. It is interesting to note that there are no grating lobes frequencies at these the distance although between array elements is quite large at higher frequency bands.

Cantor ring array — Similar to the Cantor linear array, Cantor ring arrays have also been explored to achieve a thinned array and achieve multiple operating frequency bands.

Sierpinski carpet planar array — Sierpinski carpet planar array can be considered to be a two dimensional Cantor linear array, also having multiband performance.

#### Conclusions

The concepts of fractals can be applied to the design of antenna elements and arrays. Publications about the electromagnetic theory of fractal structures, and various fractal elements and arrays are redily available. The fact that most fractals have infinite complexity and detail makes it possible to use fractal structure to design small size, low profile, and low weight antennas. In addition, most fractals have self-similarity, so fractal antenna elements or arrays also can achieve multiple frequency bands due to the self-similarity between different parts of the antenna. Application of the fractional dimension of fractal structures can lead to gain optimization of wire antennas. The combination of the infinite complexity and detail and the self-similarity makes it possible to design antennas with very wideband performance.

There are many interesting issues remaining to be addressed in the application of fractal structures in the design and analysis of antenna elements and arrays. For instance, when fractals are applied to image compression, adjacent parts are independent of one another. This is not the case when fractals are applied to antenna element and array design. Although they are geometrically similar, mutual coupling exists between different parts of a fractal antenna and the nature of that coupling depends on the distance and geometry of the fractal structure.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. T. Wallace, Dr. P. Koert, Mr. D. Arnesen and other colleagues at APTI who provided help or support for our study.

#### References

1. B. B. Mandelbrot, *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*, Freeman, 1983.

2. J. A. Valdivia, *The Physics of High Altitude Lightning*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Maryland, 1998.

3. P. E. Mayes, "Frequency-independent antennas and broadband derivatives thereof," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 80, Jan. 1992, pp. 103-112.

4. M. Schroeder, Fractals, Chaos, Power Laws, Freeman, 1990.

5. Y. Kim and D. L. Jaggard, "The fractal random array," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 74, Sept. 1986, pp. 1278-1280.

6. D. H. Werner and P. L. Werner, "Frequency independent features of self-similar fractal antennas," *Radio Sci.*, vol. 31, no. 6, Nov./Dec. 1996, pp. 1331-1343.

7. C. Puente-Baliarda, and R. Pous, "Fractal design of multiband and low side-lobe arrays," *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Prop.*, vol. AP-44, May 1996, pp. 730-739.

8. D. H. Werner and R. L. Haupt, "Fractal construc-



Figure 6. Array factor of a linear Cantor array: (a) Cantor linear array; (b) Array factor at f = 10240 MHz; (c) Array factor at f = 5120 MHz. (continued on next page)

tions of linear and planar arrays," Digest of IEEE AP-S/URSI International Symposium, 1997, pp. 1968-1971.

9. D. L. Jaggard and A. D. Jaggard "Cantor ring arrays," *Digest of IEEE AP-S/URSI International* Symposium, 1998, pp. 866-869.

10. N. Cohen, "Fractal antenna applications in wireless telecommunications," *Proceedings of Electronics Industries Forum of New England*, 1997, pp. 43 - 49.

11. C. Puente-Baliarda, J. Romeu, R. Pous, and A. Cardama, "On the behavior of the Sierpinski multiband fractal antenna," *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Prop.*, Vol. AP-46, 1998, pp. 517-524.

12. M. Sindou, G. Ablart, and C. Sourdois, "Multiband

and wideband properties of printed fractal branched antennas," *Electronics Letters*, Vol. 35, No. 3, February 1999, pp. 181-182.

13. X. Yang and L. Susman, "Wideband printed circuit fractal loop antennas," *Digest of IEEE AP/URSI Symposium*, 1999.

#### Author information

Xianhua Yang and his colleagues, J. Chiochetti, D. Papadopoulos and L. Susman can be reached at APTI, Inc., 1250 24th Street, NW, Suite 850, Washington, DC 20037, or by e-mail at: xyang@apti.com



Figure 6 (continued). Array factor of a linear Cantor array: (d) Array factor at f = 2560 MHz; (e) Array factor at f = 1280 MHz; (f) Array factor at f = 640 MHz; (g) Array factor at f = 320 MHz.